

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,768	12/03/2004	Naoto Minamino	62273(71526)	2832
21874 7590 05/15/2007 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAMINER	
			DEBERRY, REGINA M	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/516,768	MINAMINO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Regina M. DeBerry	1647			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	<u>lugust 2006</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers		,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in App prity documents have been re nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗆 Intensiow Sum	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	fail Date mal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11 drawn to a peptide, the pharmaceutical composition comprising the peptide, the gene encoding the peptide and a method for treating a subject suffering from or susceptible to osteoporosis.

Group II, claim(s) 12 and 13, drawn to a method for treating a subject suffering from or susceptible to cancer comprising administering a peptide.

Group III, claim(s) 14 and 15, drawn to a method for treating a subject in need of a diuretic comprising administering a peptide.

Group IV, claim(s) 16 and 17, drawn to a method for treating a subject in need of an analgesic comprising administering a peptide.

Group V, claim(s) 18 and 19, drawn to a method for treating a subject in need of an appetite suppressant agent comprising administering a peptide.

Group VI, claim(s) 20 and 21, drawn to a method for treating a subject in need of a hypotensive agent comprising administering a peptide.

Group VII, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method for treating a subject having undergone percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty comprising administering a peptide.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or

Art Unit: 1647

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Groups I-VII are drawn to methods that recite structurally and functionally distinct elements, are not required one for the other, and/or achieve different goals. The special technical feature of Group I is the peptide, pharmaceutical composition comprising the peptide and nucleic acid products and a method for treating osteoporosis. The special technical feature of Group II is a method for treating a subject suffering from or susceptible to cancer comprising administering a peptide. The special technical feature of Group III is a method for treating a subject in need of a diuretic comprising administering a peptide. The special technical feature of Group IV is a method for treating a subject in need of an analgesic comprising administering a peptide. The special technical feature of Group V is a method for treating a subject in need of an appetite suppressant agent comprising administering a peptide. The special technical feature of Group VI is a method for treating a subject in need of a hypotensive agent comprising administering a peptide. The special technical feature of Group VII is a method for treating a subject having undergone percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty comprising administering a peptide.

Accordingly, the Groups are not so linked by the same or corresponding feature as to form a single inventive concept. A search and examination of the methods in one patent application would result in an undue burden, since the methods are not coextensive, the classification is different, and/or the subject matter is divergent. The inventions of Groups I-VII encompass treating diverse patient populations. The Groups require search and consideration of conditions and techniques, which may not overlap.

A search on percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty coronary artery disease would not necessarily overlap with a search on appetite suppressant agent or osteoporosis. The instant conditions also exhibit different pathologies. Furthermore, PCT practices do not provide for examination of multiple methods of using the first claimed product.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: SEQ ID Nos:1-3,6,7,9,10,12,13,16,17,19 and 20

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species (elect one polypeptide SEQ ID NO: and one nucleic acid SEQ ID NO:) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

Application/Control Number: 10/516,768

Art Unit: 1647

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a). The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: claims 1, 3 and 6.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the instant species are composed of unrelated or diverse sequences, different coding regions and/or imparts structural and functional differences.

The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where Applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an

Art Unit: 1647

otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search requires a different non-patent literature search due to each group comprising recognized divergent subject matter, different products and/or method steps, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/516,768

Art Unit: 1647

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 8

examiner should be directed to Regina M. DeBerry whose telephone number is (571)

272-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m.-6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary B. Nickol can be reached on (571) 272-0835. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RMD 5/11/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES